



19 November 2021

To: All Deans/Departmental Chairpersons/Heads and Directors

Annual Survey of 2021-Research Output

1. The annual survey of 2021 research output publications for subsidy purposes have been underway since earlier this year using the research information system known as Research Administration (RA system) *.
2. The Departmental Chairpersons are kindly requested to familiarise themselves with the rules and regulations of the research outputs submitted for subsidy purposes. (See Addendum B for further details.)
3. In conjunction with 2 above, the regulations of the Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET) require departmental chairpersons to sign a **checklist** for all the research outputs submitted for subsidy. (See addendum A for further details.) It is the responsibility of the departmental chairperson to ensure that all research outputs submitted for subsidy comply with these requirements. We cannot guarantee that incomplete information will be followed up on in the future, and incomplete submissions may result in a loss of subsidies for both your department and SU.
4. Please note that the current DHET policy applies to the 2016 publications and thereafter. The most important changes are:
 - The additional indices for accredited journals are Scopus, Scielo SA, Norwegian list and the DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) for the submission in 2022 and onwards.
 - The maximum subsidy for a book is 10 subsidy units. A chapter in a book is awarded 1 subsidy unit. The subsidy for edited books with more than 10 chapters vary according to the number of chapters.
 - ≡ The additional supporting information for book publication i.e., a research justification must be signed by the author (for authored books) and the general editor for chapters in books.
 - In an earlier email, the researchers were notified of the DHET request to all institutions to submit electronic copies of the publications and supporting documents where possible. The electronic system is now updated to allow the upload of these electronic





UNIVERSITEIT•STELLENBOSCH•UNIVERSITY
jou kennisvenoot • your knowledge partner

publications and supporting documents. The DHET makes an exception for monographs only if it is not printed electronically. Such books and their supporting documents may be submitted in hard copy to the research office.

5. All submissions must be thoroughly proofread – information that is reported in the incorrect format will not necessarily be reflected in the Research Report.
6. The closing date for the submission of the 2021 research outputs is **Monday, 31 January 2022**.

* (RA is a web-based database that provides access for a single contact person in each department/division/centre/bureau. This person is accordingly responsible for capturing the research output of their department/division/centre/bureau.)





UNIVERSITEIT • STELLENBOSCH • UNIVERSITY
jou kennisvenoot • your knowledge partner

Contact details:	
General enquiries about research outputs & DHET policy	Daléné Pieterse RW Wilcocks Building, Room 2048 Tel: 021 808 3557 e-mail: mver@sun.ac.za
Use of Research Administration (RA)	Felicia Mc Donald RW Wilcocks Building, Room 2048 Tel: 021 808 2581 e-mail: fmcdonald@sun.ac.za
Use of Research administration (RA)	Esmari Huysamen RW Wilcocks Building, Room 2048 Tel: 021 808 4914 e-mail: esmarih@sun.ac.za

All publications and supporting documents which are not available as in an electronic version should be handed in in hard copy to Ms Daléné Pieterse or Felicia Mc Donald at the Division of Research Development, Krotoa Building, Room 2048.

Kind regards

Dr Therina Theron
Senior Director: Research & Innovation



ADDENDUM A

Checklist for the submission of the research publication outputs for subsidy to the

Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET) by the

Department / Division / Centrum/ Institute

Type in your entity's name

FORMS TO BE SUBMITTED:

The forms listed below, must be completed, signed and either handed in as hard copies at the Research Office, or emailed to Felicia Mc Donald, email address: fmcdonald@sun.ac.za not later than **Monday 31 January 2022**. Please complete the table below, indicating the way the forms are send.

Form	Send per email	Send via Internal mail or hand delivered to the Research Office
Annual Research Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Subsidy Report	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Checklist/ Addendum A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

DOCUMENT LIST

The purpose of the checklist is to ensure that all supporting documents of the research outputs submitted for subsidy, are uploaded on the electronic system.

Complete the relevant category(s) on the checklist.

Check and tick the appropriate box if the supporting document for each of the research outputs on the subsidy report for the specific category on the checklist, is uploaded.

Use the comment block to indicate, if the supporting document for a specific output is still outstanding.

Articles in an Accredited Journal

			Your Comments
<input type="checkbox"/>	1.	<p>I uploaded an electronic copy of the complete article of the final print version.</p> <p><i>Please highlight the journal title, publication date, volume number, issue number (if applicable), page number or article ID number and the internal author(s) and their affiliation(s) on the PDF.</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	<p>The name of the journal, title of the article, volume number, year of publication, page numbers, names of the authors with their affiliation are on the PDF(s) uploaded on the RA.</p> <p><i>Submissions of articles from databases like PubMed is not sufficient as it only states the abstract (as opposed to the full article) and not the affiliation of all the authors (in most cases only the affiliation of the first author is stated).</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.	<p>The publication date is 2021 (in case of 2020, see 4 below).</p> <p><i>No ahead of print / early view publications, at the time of submission, is captured.</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	<p>In cases where the year of publication is 2020, first check that no claim was submitted previously. If not, capture the 2020 publication with this survey and upload a written and signed motivation stating the reason for the late submission, on the electronic system.</p> <p><i>The only acceptable reason for late submissions is that the publication was printed late.</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.	<p>If the author's affiliation with SU does not appear on the article, for staff, a letter from Human Resources, for extra-ordinary appointments a relevant letter of appointment, for postgraduate students a letter from the promotor, confirming the author's appointment with SU for the duration she/he was writing the article, is uploaded on the electronic system.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	6.	<p>The publication is an original research article and has not been published elsewhere.</p> <p><i>There for, the following types of articles are excluded for subsidy, even if it is published in an accredited journal: editorials, abstracts, letters to the editor, case reports, book reviews, news articles, tributes and reports on conferences and symposia.</i></p>	

Books / Chapters in books

			Your Comments
	1.	I submitted a complete book of the final published version:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1.1.	For books (monograph), I uploaded an electronic copy of the complete book on the electronic system and or I send a hard copy of the original book to the Research Office	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1.2.	<p>For edited books, I uploaded, a complete electronic copy or the relevant parts as listed below, of the book on the electronic system.</p> <p>Front cover; imprint page showing the copyright date, ISBN and publisher; page showing the book title and editors; complete table of content; Foreword/Acknowledgement/Introduction; contributors list, claiming chapter(s); last chapter and back cover of the book.</p> <p><i>Please highlight the relevant parts on the electronic copy</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	<p>The publication date is 2021.</p> <p>In cases where the year of publication is <i>2020</i>, first <i>check that no claim was submitted already</i>. If not, upload a <i>written and signed motivation giving the reason</i> for the late submission. The only acceptable reason for late submissions is that the publication was printed late.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.	<p>A letter from the publisher, setting out the peer review process for the book in as much details as possible, is uploaded on the electronic system. The following must be clearly and unambiguously clarified:</p> <p>3.1. Peer review has taken place on the whole manuscript and not the proposal only, prior to publication.</p> <p>3.2. The proof of peer review provides as much as possible details of the process for the book or chapter and is <i>not merely a statement that peer review had taken place</i>.</p> <p>3.3. If the editor(s) of a book, also contributed towards a chapter(s) in the book, the publisher must unambiguously clarify that this contribution(s) was peer reviewed independently and that the editors was not involved in this process and the approval for inclusion of the chapter(s).</p> <p>3.4. Reviewers must be independent from the claiming institution. Editors cannot be reviewers.</p>	

* All supporting documentations must be in English

		Templates is not sufficed (in the past we have received declarations from different publishers using the exact same statement regarding the peer review process that had been followed)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	<p>A research justification* (max 500 words) by the author for books or the editor for the edited books, is uploaded on the electronic system.</p> <p>The justification addresses the following:</p> <p>4.1 The unique contribution the publication makes to existing knowledge. It should be clear that the book or chapter against which subsidy is being claimed disseminates original research and new developments within the specific discipline.</p> <p>4.2 As part of the justification, there should be an unequivocal declaration to the fact that no part of the work was plagiarised or published elsewhere.</p> <p>4.3 The target audience must be stated. If such information is already provided in the publication, a marker or reference to this must be made rather than providing the justification.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.	<p>Affiliation of the author with SU is indicated in the publication.</p> <p>If the author's affiliation with SU does not appear on the article, for staff, a letter from Human Resources, for extra-ordinary appointments a relevant letter of appointment, for postgraduate students a letter from the promotor, confirming the author's appointment with SU for the duration she/he was writing the article, is uploaded on the electronic system.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	6.	A minimum one-page summary in English is included if the book/ chapter of a book is published in a language other than English.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.	The length of the book is a minimum of 60 pages (excluding references, bibliography & appendices).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	8.	The publication represents original research and has not been claimed previously in another format.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9.	Books with more than one edition, must be accompanied by the prior electronic edition(s) . In the written justification the new work done and that it has been substantially reworked to be considered as new research must be clearly indicated.	

<input type="checkbox"/>	10.	The target audience comprises academic peers and not undergraduates (handbooks), contract workers or the public.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	11.	ISBN shows in the book.	

Proceedings International and -National

			Your comments
<input type="checkbox"/>	1.	<p>I uploaded the complete proceeding and or the relevant parts, as listed below to the electronic system.</p> <p>1.1 Title page showing the conference title*</p> <p>1.2 Imprint page showing the editor(s), ISBN* & copyright date*</p> <p>1.3 Complete index page(s)*</p> <p>1.4 Introduction/Forward/Welcome/Reviewers/</p> <p>1.5 Editorial board or organising Committee and their affiliation</p> <p>1.6 Research paper(s) of SU authors and</p> <p>1.7 List of contributors, if applicable</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Please highlight the information marked with an (*) on the PDF</i></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	<p>The publication date is 2021.</p> <p>In cases where the year of publication is 2020, first check that no claim was submitted previously. If not, upload a written and signed motivation stating the reason for the late submission. The only acceptable reason for late submissions is that the publication was printed late.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.	<p>A letter from the conference organiser or editor indicating the peer review process prior publication for this conference in as much detail possible, is uploaded on the electronic system.</p> <p>The following must be clearly and unambiguously clarified:</p> <p>3.1 The conference paper was peer reviewed prior to publication by independent reviewers and that this process was decisive for inclusion in the proceeding.</p> <p>3.2 The peer review should refer to the full conference paper and <i>not the abstract only</i>.</p> <p>3.3 The criteria used to review the papers; the number of reviewers; the number of papers submitted for review and the number of papers accepted/rejected must be indicated.</p> <p>Templates is not sufficed (in the past we have received declarations from different publishers using the exact same statement regarding the peer review process that had been followed)</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	<p>Affiliation of the authors appears in the proceedings.</p> <p>If the author's affiliation with SU does not appear on the article, for staff, a letter from Human Resources, for extra-ordinary appointments a relevant letter of appointment, for postgraduate students a letter from the</p>	

*All supporting documentations must be in English

		promotor, confirming the author's appointment with SU for the duration she/he was writing the article, is uploaded on the electronic system.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.	An abstract in English is included if the proceeding is published in a language other than English.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	6.	The target market is academic peers	
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.	ISBN or e-ISBN shows on publication.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	8.	The conference chair or editor of the conference must give a letter confirming that more than 60% of contributors emanated from multiple institutions if it is not printed in the proceeding.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9.	The publication represents original research and has not been claimed previously in another format.	

The undersigned declare that to their knowledge:

<input type="checkbox"/>	All authors, for whom subsidy is claimed under our department, are either permanent-, temporary-, or retired personnel or undergraduate- or postgraduate students or extraordinary appointments or research fellows of Stellenbosch University.
<input type="checkbox"/>	All publications reported adhere to sound ethical principles

DEPARTMENTAL CHAIRPERSON / DIRECTOR

RA DEDICATED PERSON

Name and Surname Printed

Name and Surname Printed

Signature

Signature

Date: _____

Date: _____

ADDENDUM B:

Guidelines for the Submission of the 2021 Research Publication Outputs

General

The annual reporting of research outputs is firstly done for purposes of claiming subsidy from the Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET) and secondly for the research report of the university. Abstracts in accredited journals, contributions in popular magazines, blogs, conference abstracts, posters and editorial books will not be included in the research report.

The two categories are as follows (the terminology as used on the RA system is given in brackets):

Categories of research outputs that qualify for subsidy purposes	Categories of research outputs that do not qualify for subsidy (in other words non-subsidised research outputs)
Journal articles in accredited journals (<i>Journal articles subsidised</i>)	Journal articles in non-accredited journals (<i>Journal articles non-subsidised</i>)
*Published Proceedings: International conferences (<i>Proceedings International</i>)	Published Proceedings: International conferences (<i>Proceedings International</i>) that do not comply with DHET requirements
*Published Proceedings: National conferences (<i>Proceedings National</i>)	Published Proceedings: National conferences (<i>Proceedings National</i>) that do not comply with DHET requirements
*Books (<i>Books</i>)	Books (<i>Books</i>) that do not comply with DHET requirements
*Chapters in books (<i>Chapters in books</i>)	Chapters in books (<i>Chapters in books</i>) that do not comply with DHET requirements
Creative outputs (<i>Creative works</i>) Researchers now have the opportunity to submit their creative outputs to the Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET) for formal recognition and subsidy purposes. This submission is separate from the research publication outputs survey. Click here for more information.	Reports (<i>Research Reports</i>)
	Doctoral dissertations completed (<i>Doctoral Completed</i>)
	Master's theses completed (<i>Masters Completed</i>)

IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR RA USERS:

If a publication in the category conference proceedings and books / chapters in books are submitted for subsidy, it must be indicated as such on the RA system by marking the tick box “**Subsidy requested**”. If this tick box is not marked, we assume that the publication is only reported for the purposes of the Research Report and that no subsidy claim is submitted.

Please note that, if a publication is submitted for subsidy (i.e., the “Subsidy requested” box had been marked), all accompanying documentation (as set out below) must be uploaded on the electronic system with the application. No publication can be submitted successfully without the necessary documents.

The new DHET policy regarding subsidization of research publications is applicable to 2016 publications and thereafter. In summary it firstly entails additional accredited indexes for journal articles and secondly more units to be awarded to books/chapters in books. In the case of the latter however DHET requires additional material to be submitted from the previous policy (see the relevant paragraphs below for more information).

The DHET requested all institutions to submit, where possible, electronic copies of the research publication output with their supporting documents. The electronic copies can be uploaded as pdfs for each of the document types on the electronic system. Word documents must be saved as pdfs before being uploaded to the system. If the publication of the research output is **only available in hard copy**, for edited books and conferences, the relevant parts as set out in Addendum A, can be scanned in and uploaded on the electronic system, for authored books, the hard copy of the publication and supporting documents must be submitted to the research office as such.

Annual submission of research outputs to the Department of Higher Education & Training

The Department of Higher Education and Training only awards subsidy to authors that are formally affiliated with the claiming university. Affiliated authors are academic or research personnel, undergraduate- or postgraduate students, research fellows or extraordinary appointments or retired personnel. The affiliation of the author on the publication must reflect that of the claiming institution.

In cases where the affiliation of the SU author is not stated as such on the publication, proof of affiliation must be provided in the form of a Human Recourses letter for staff or a statement from the promotor for postgraduate students.

Please note that the following criteria in the categories that qualify for subsidy apply to research outputs that are submitted for subsidy purposes only and are therefore not applicable to outputs that are only reported for the purpose of the Research Report

Journal articles in accredited journals

Includes original research articles, original research letters and review articles which had undergone peer review prior publication, in an accredited journal.

US authors in the **research group**, who is not part of the main authors of an accredited article, cannot claim subsidy for their part.

Exclusions: editorials, abstracts or extended abstracts, letters to the editor, book reviews, news articles, tributes and reports on conferences and symposia. These exclusions apply even if they were published in an accredited journal.

The lists of accredited journals can be downloaded from our website in Excel under "Accredited Journals" at

<http://www.sun.ac.za/english/research-innovation/Research-Development/outputs-accredited-journals/accredited-journals>

Additionally, direct links to some of these indices can also be found at:

- **Clarivate Analytics/ Core Web of Science** /Thomson Reuters ISI ("Institute for Scientific Information") citation indices

SCIENCE CITATION INDEX EXPANDED

SOCIAL SCIENCES CITATION INDEX

ARTS & HUMANITIES CITATION INDEX

<https://mjl.clarivate.com/home>

Please note the following when you are searching for a journal on the Thomson/ISI website: Journals that appear on the Master list are not necessarily accredited; only the ones on the 3 indices of the ISI Web of Science list: Science Citation Index; Social Sciences Citation Index and Arts and Humanities Citation Index. Please note that the Emerging Science Citation index is not accredited.

- **IBSS** (“International Bibliography of the Social Sciences”)

<https://www.proquest.com/databases/publicationbrowse?accountid=14049>
- A list of **local journals** as maintained by the Department of Higher Education and Training

<http://www.sun.ac.za/english/research-innovation/Research-Development/Documents/Postdocs/PD%20Adverts/DHET%202021revised%20.xlsx>
- **Norwegian list**

https://kanalregister.hkdir.no/publiseringsskanaler/Forside.action?request_locale=en
- **ScieLO SA**

http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php?script=sci_alphabetic&lng=en&nrm=iso
- **Scopus**

<https://www.scopus.com/standard/marketing.uri#basic;>

https://www.elsevier.com/_data/assets/excel_doc/0015/91122/extlistSeptember2021.xlsx
- **DOAJ**

<https://doaj.org/>

What information must accompany the submission?

A copy of the full journal article on which the following information appears and are highlighted, must be uploaded to the electronic system.

- Name of the journal
- Year of publication

- Volume and issue number
- Names of authors and their affiliations
Submission of articles from databases like PubMed is not sufficient as they only state the abstract (as opposed to the full article) and not the affiliation of all the authors (in most cases only the affiliation of the first author is stated).
- This survey is only applicable to **2021**-research publication outputs. Journal articles with 2020 publication dates must be accompanied by a **written and signed by Departmental head, motivation letter stating the reason** for the late submission.

Please refer to the next page for an example of the format of data to be provided as well as the correct way to indicate author affiliation.

For future reference, please note that “Stellenbosch University” is the correct version instead of “University of Stellenbosch” when stating your affiliation.

 PLOS ONE

Journal Title

Article Title

Internal authors

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Perceived enablers and constraints of motivation to conduct undergraduate research in a Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences: What role does choice play?

Debra Leigh Marais^{1,2,3,4,5}, Jessica Kottowitz^{2,3}, Bart Willems^{3,4}, Nicola W. Barsdorf^{4,5}, Susan van Schalkwyk⁵

1 Undergraduate Research Office, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, **2** Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, **3** Division of Health Systems and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, **4** Health Research Ethics Office, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, **5** Centre for Health Professions Education, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

© These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

* debbiem@sun.ac.za

Affiliation of authors



Volume number

 OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Marais DL, Kottowitz J, Willems B, Barsdorf NW, van Schalkwyk S (2019) Perceived enablers and constraints of motivation to conduct undergraduate research in a Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences: What role does choice play? *PLoS ONE* 14(3): e0212873. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212873>

Editor: Conor Gilligan, University of Newcastle, AUSTRALIA

Received: September 14, 2018

Accepted: February 11, 2019

Published: March 13, 2019

Abstract

Article ID number, capture it at Page range as e0212873, 23

Background

Enhancing evidence-based practice and improving locally driven research begins with fostering the research skills of undergraduate students in the medical and health sciences. Research as a core component of undergraduate curricula can be facilitated or constrained by various programmatic and institutional factors, including that of choice. Self-Determination Theory (SDT) provides a framework for understanding the influence of choice on student motivation to engage in research.

Issue number

Online Journals: Article ID Number

Journal title: South African Journal of Physiotherapy
 ISSN: (Online) 2410-8219, (Print) 0379-6175
 Page 1 of 7 Original Research
 amount of pages: 7
 An electromyographic study of abdominal muscle activity in children with spastic cerebral palsy
 CrossMark

Authors:
 Saviour K. Adjenti¹
 Graham Louw¹
 Jennifer Jelzma²
 Marianne Unger⁴

Affiliations:
¹Department of Anatomy, School of Biomedical & Allied Health Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Ghana
²Division of Clinical Anatomy & Biological Anthropology, Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa
³Division of Physiotherapy, Department of Health & Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa
⁴Division of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

Corresponding author:
 Saviour Adjenti,
 saviour.ajenti@yahoo.com

Dates:
 Received: 22 June 2016
 Accepted: 18 July 2017
 Published: 20 Oct. 2017

How to cite this article:
 Adjenti, S.K., Louw, G., Jelzma, J. & Unger, M. (2017). 'An electromyographic study of abdominal muscle activity in children with spastic cerebral palsy', *South African Journal of Physiotherapy* 73(1), 341. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajp.v73i1.341>

Read online:
 Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

Background: Inadequate knowledge in the recruitment patterns of abdominal muscles in individuals with spastic-type cerebral palsy (STCP).

Objectives: To determine whether there is any difference between the neuromuscular activity (activation pattern) of the abdominal muscles in children with STCP and those of their typically developing (TD) peers.

Method: The NORAXAN® electromyography (EMG) was used to monitor the neuromuscular activity in abdominal muscles of individuals with STCP ($n = 63$), and the results were compared with the findings from age-matched TD individuals ($n = 82$).

Results: EMG frequencies were recorded during rest and during active states and compared using repeated measures ANOVA. Spearman's rank order correlation was used to explore relationships between age, body mass index and abdominal muscle activity. With the exception of the rectus abdominis (RA) muscle, the pattern of neuromuscular activity in children with STCP differs significantly from that of their TD peers. Three of the muscles – external oblique abdominis (EO), internal oblique abdominis (IO) and RA – in both groups showed significant changes ($p < 0.001$) in the frequency of EMG activity between the resting and active states. An elevated EMG activity at rest in the EO and IO was recorded in the STCP group, whereas the RA during resting and active stages showed similar results to TD individuals.

Conclusion: The findings from this study suggest that the RA could be targeted during rehabilitation regimens; however, the force generated by this muscle may not be sufficient for the maintenance of trunk stability without optimal support from the EO and IO muscles.

Volume and Issue
 necessary equilibrium of stability and mobility of the spine, recruitment adequately organised and coordinated (Tucker & Hodges 2010). In health, the global system of which the abdominal muscles form part is known to recruit different intensities to produce movements (Vasseljen et al. 2009). Similarly, these muscles need to contract appropriately, eccentrically and isometrically, to contribute to the stability of the trunk (Tucker et al. 2001; Tucker & Hodges 2010). Muscle weakness and morphological differences in the trunk are the typical physical signs commonly associated with low back pain (Rosenbaum et al. 2007). These are also said to resemble the physical characteristics of spastic-type cerebral palsy (STCP) (Rosenbaum et al. 2007).

article id number
 children with cerebral palsy (CP) hypertonia and muscle weakness are reported to be the common impairment patterns that lead to motor dysfunction seen in these children (Rosenbaum et al. 2007). Hypertonia in the trunk musculature, and particularly in the rectus abdominis (RA) muscle, is considered to be a compensatory strategy because of its role in maintaining pelvic stability, is essential in trunk stability (Rosenbaum et al. 2008). Hypertonia in the trunk, however, is considered to be a compensatory strategy because of its role in maintaining pelvic stability (Rosenbaum et al. 2001). Hypertonia also causes transformations in the recruitment patterns of these muscles, which further impacts the ability of these muscles to contract (Rosenbaum et al. 2008), which further impacts the ability of these muscles to contract. The relationship between the abdominal muscle strength or weakness and firing patterns of these muscles, however, is not well described in CP and how these differ from age-matched peers of the typically developing (TD) group is not fully understood. Because the abdominal muscles have been considered as forming part of the global system, and therefore are trunk stabilisers, a comprehensive knowledge of their recruitment patterns may be useful during rehabilitation regimens for individuals with STCP.

Copyright: © 2017. The Authors. Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Journal: South African Journal of Physiotherapy / LOCAL

Search String: Search

Were CAF Facilities used in generating data for this publication?

Were HPC Facilities used in generating data for this publication?

Page From (numerals only):

Page To (numerals only):

If the page numbers contain non-numeric characters (such as Roman Numerals) then use the Page Range text box instead.

Page Range: a341, 7 pages

Volume: 73

Issue Number: 1

Please highlight this information on the supporting documentation as such and note the correct way of listing your affiliation for the submission of subsidy to the DHET.

How to apply for accreditation of a journal?

Only applications for the accreditation of **local** journals are submitted via the Division of Research Development to the Department of Higher Education and Training.

South African journals which, in the opinion of the editor, comply with the following criteria may apply to the Department for inclusion in the List of accredited South African Local Journals:

- The purpose of the journal must be to disseminate research results and the content must support high level learning, teaching and research in the relevant subject area.
- Articles accepted for publication in the journal must be peer reviewed.
- At least 75% of contributions published in the journal must emanate from multiple institutions.
- The journal must have an International Standard Serial Number (ISSN).
- The journal must be published at the frequency it is intended to be published, e.g., quarterly, biannually, annually or biennially.
- The journal must have an editorial board, with more than two-thirds of the editorial board members beyond a single institution, and which is reflective of expertise in the relevant subject area.
- The journal must be distributed beyond a single institution; and
- Journals must include English abstracts if their language of publication is not English.

If you wish to apply for the accreditation of a local journal, please provide the following information before **15 June** each year:

- Title, including translations if not published in English.
- The ISSN and or e-ISSN of the journal.
- Publisher and the publisher's address and contact details.
- Frequency of publication.
- Evidence that the journal has been published uninterrupted for a minimum of three years as well as the latest three consecutive copies of the journal.
- Editorial policy, including evidence of the peer review process.
- Editorial Board - the status of the members of the editorial board must be stated together with their institutional affiliations.
- In the case of electronic journals, the journal's internet Uniform Resource Locator (URL); and
- Proof of the journal's library holdings and/or downloads for electronic publications.

Applications for the accreditation of international journals must be made via the editorial board of the journal to the relevant index. More information on the selection process of each of the indexes can be found at the links below.

- ISI <http://science.thomsonreuters.com/info/journalsubmission/>
- IBSS
<http://media2.proquest.com/documents/IBSS+Editorial+Policies+and+Principles.pdf>
- Norwegian List <https://dbh.nsd.uib.no/publiseringskanaler/resources/pdf/2015-03-11-krav-til-forslagdok-nivaa-1.pdf>
- Scopus <https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/content/content-policy-and-selection>; <http://suggestor.step.scopus.com/suggestTitle/step1.cfm>
- SciELO SA
http://www.scielo.org.za/avaliacao/avaliacao_en.htm
- DOAJ
<https://doaj.org/account/login?redirected=apply>

Published Proceedings: International - and National Conferences

Published conference proceedings which are focused on the academic specialist and of which the full-length paper had undergone peer review prior publication, can be submitted for subsidy.

Conference proceedings that also appear in accredited journals and that are the final publication of the research results must be entered in the category “Journal articles subsidized. **Please note that subsidy can only be awarded for either the conference contribution or the journal article and not both.**

What information must accompany the submission?

If you wish to apply for a subsidy for the conference proceedings, please provide the following information as soft copies:

- Complete copy of the proceedings or the relevant parts as listed below must be scanned in.
 - Title page showing the conference title
 - Imprint page showing the editor(s), ISBN & copyright date
Only conference proceedings with an ISBN or e-ISBN qualify for subsidy. No written ISBN is acceptable.
 - **Complete index page(s)**
 - Introduction/Forward/Welcome/Reviewers/
 - Editorial board or organising Committee and their affiliation
 - Research paper(s) of SU authors and
 - List of contributors, if applicable
- Target audience of the conference is academic peers.
- A written communication of the detailed peer review process for the contributions (if the selection criteria are not stated in the proceedings, documentation in this regard **MUST** be obtained from the editor). It should also clearly state that the **full-length** paper had been reviewed and the abstract. The criteria used to review the papers; the number of reviewers; the number of papers submitted for review and the number of papers accepted/rejected must be indicated.
- This survey is only applicable to **2021**-research outputs. Proceedings with 2020 publication dates must be accompanied by a **written and signed by Departmental head, motivation letter** stating the reason for the late submission.

Please highlight this information on the supporting documentation.

Example of proof of peer review prior publication

SATNAC 2014 Review Process The congress details are listed

The peer review process was decisive for approval of the paper A formal 'Call for Papers' was issued, inviting anyone interested to submit a paper within categories specified by the Organizing Committee. Authors uploaded their papers via web interface onto a database. Papers were assigned to the review panel in the field to judge on the possible acceptance of the submission, based on the scope and depth of the subject matter.

The review process is based on the international de facto standard for blind paper reviews. The review process was undertaken by at least three experienced and well respected individuals. In the blind peer-review process, papers were scrutinized by a panel of South African reviewers, consisting of mainly respected academics, as well as several international experts. The reviewers were asked to provide specific feedback, both positive and negative. This was the only information from the review process disclosed to the authors; all other information was kept confidential.

Reviewers used a 4 point scale to rate the following criteria:

- Originality
- References
- Technical Quality
- Presentation Style


The peer review criteria The peer review process takes place independantly by international- and national reviewers

To ensure the quality of the Reviewers gave an overall rating. This was followed by the reviewer comments, which assists the authors in improving and correcting their papers. Reviewers were asked to be as comprehensive as possible.

The reviewers submitted their scoring and comments via web interface onto the database. The Technical Programme Committee drew reports and aggregated the individual scores. The papers were ranked on their average weighted score. The programme dictated the number of papers that could be accepted. Papers were submitted to an online plagiarism database, before being accepted.

The reviewers' comments were forwarded to the author's, with a request to submit a final revised version. Only those papers of high enough quality as recommended by the respective reviewers are included in the SATNAC 2014 Proceedings as Full Reviewed Papers. The manuscript was peer reviewed prior publication

Two page Work-In-Progress papers were also invited but were not reviewed as rigorously. Several were accepted for oral presentations, while others for poster presentations. The poster session papers do not form part of the official conference proceedings.



Roy Volkwyn
Chairperson
Technical Programme Committee
SATNAC 2014

Official declaraction by the congress chair

Books and Chapters in Books

This category includes books for specialists in the field, i.e., stringently refereed research material of high quality.

Exclusions

- Books aimed at the public and/or undergraduate students do not qualify for subsidy.
- Editorial work does not qualify for subsidy.
Editors who have chapters in their book cannot be the reviewer since peer review should be independent.
- As a rule, introductions and conclusions do not qualify for subsidy. If you are of the opinion that your contribution reflects original research, the author may submit a motivational letter.
- Dissertations, textbooks, study guides for undergraduate students, inaugural speeches, reports on contract research, festschrifts and documentation of case studies, translations and dictionaries do not qualify for subsidy.

What information must accompany the submission?

If you wish to apply for subsidy for a book/chapter in a book, please provide the following information:

1. Complete soft copy of the book is provided. DHET makes exceptions for authored books(monographs) only in that if the book is only published as a hard copy, a hard copy of the complete book may be submitted. For edited books the relevant parts as listed below, must be scanned as A4 pages and uploaded on the electronic system.
 - Front cover
 - imprint page showing the copyright date, ISBN and publisher; page showing the book title and editors
 - complete table of content
 - Foreword/Acknowledgement/Introduction
 - contributors list
 - claiming chapter(s)
 - last chapter and back cover of the book.
2. The additional information requested in the DHET policy is a written justification of a maximum of 500 words, written by the author (s) (Books) or general editor (chapter in books).

- 2.1. Explaining the contribution that the book makes to scholarship. This justification should not be an abstract of the contents or preface of the book, but should, rather, describe the methodology used as well as the unique contribution made to knowledge production. It should be clear that the book or chapter against which subsidy is being claimed disseminates original research and new developments within the specific discipline.
- 2.2. As part of the motivation, there must be an unequivocal statement that no part of the work is guilty of plagiarism or has been published elsewhere.
- 2.3. The target market must be indicated

If the information is already indicated in the publication, the place of the target market in the book should be identified in the written motivation rather than repeating it in the motivation.

In cases where the publication indicates that the target market is undergraduate students and or a broad general audience, a motivation must be given to indicate the scientific nature of the work.
3. Submission of proof of peer review of the book PRIOR to publication. According to DHET guidelines, proof of peer review should preferably be obtained from the publishers and not the editors of the publication and should include as much detail about the peer review process as possible.

If the **editor** was responsible for **facilitating the peer review process**, the publisher must confirm this in a letter so that the general editor can give the details of the peer review process of the book.

 - **Independence** of peer review is important, hence the questionability of peer review by an **editor as peer reviewer**.
 - Peer review by the editor also raises the issue of scholarliness, since it will only be in exceptional cases that an editor will be an expert on all the issues addressed in a publication.
 - The issue of reject ability plays an important role and, if possible, information regarding the rejection rate should be included in the peer review process statement.
 - Scholarliness of a publication will not overrule lack of peer review based on fairness and consistency in judging submissions.
 - In the past we have come across statements of peer review where the content had been provided by the authors themselves to the editors/conference organisers and this practice is strongly discouraged. There were cases where the content had been the exact same phrasing for various publications by different publishers and this reflects negatively on the reputation of both the author as well as the publisher.
4. The target audience should be experts in the field and not a broad audience.

5. This survey is only applicable to **2021**-research outputs. Books and chapters in books with 2020 publication dates must be accompanied by a **written and signed by the Departmental head motivation letter** stating the reason for the late submission.
6. If either the book or chapter in book is published in a language other than English, a summary of the output in English with a minimum of one page must be submitted.
7. The affiliation of the claiming author is in the publication.
8. If this is NOT the first edition, hand in a motivation explaining which the new research is and giving the precise page numbers of the new research.

Please highlight this information on the supporting documentation

Please see Addendum C for more information on the requirements for the submission of books and chapters in books for subsidy to the DHET

Example of proof of peer review prior publication



The peer review process is set out by the publisher

13 January 2014

Dear Sir/Madam,

The details of the publication is listed

RE

I am writing to confirm that the above book was initially peer reviewed by two academics in the field at proposal stage, and upon completion, each chapter of the manuscript was blind peer reviewed by two experts in the field, after which the three series editors returned their feedback and each contributor made the requested changes to their chapters. The feedback from the reviewers at both stages was considered by our editorial board and contributed to their decision to take the project forward to publication.

Peer review process of the manuscript was independent and decisive for publishing by the series

Further details:

Publication date: 25th November 2013.

Stages of review: Proposal and full manuscript stage.

Number of reviewers: **Two reviewers at proposal stage**, affiliated to the institute of Education, University of London, UK, and the University of Oxford, UK. **Two reviewers of each chapter upon completion of the manuscript** with affiliations including Arizona State University, USA; University of North Carolina, USA; Stellenbosch University, South Africa; Rhodes University, South Africa; University of Birmingham, UK.

Please do let me know if you have any other queries.

Yours sincerely,

Clare Ashworth

Official letter

Proposal and manuscript were peer reviewed prior publication by independent national and international experts

Clare Ashworth
Editorial Assistant
Routledge

Taylor and Francis
2 Park Square, Milton Park
Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN
Clare.ashworth@tandf.co.uk

Calculation of subsidy units for each category

Category	<p>Subsidy units allocated by DHET as well as for internal SU funding for the purpose of the SOS-funds.</p> <p><i>The subsidy unit is equally divided by the number of authors for a research publication output.</i></p>
Research article	A research article published in an approved journal will be subsidised as a single unit (1 unit)
Peer reviewed books & chapters in books	<p>A book may be subsidised to a maximum of 10 units based on the number of pages being claimed for. The references, index, appendix etc. at the back of the book is not included in the amount for which subsidy may be claimed for. A guide on unit allocation for book publications is as follows:</p> <p>A chapter in a book = 1 unit (if 10 or less chapters) If a book has more than 10 chapters, the subsidy per chapter = $10/\text{number of chapters}$</p> <p>A book of a minimum of 60 pages but less than 90 pages = 2 units A book of 90 pages and above, but less than 120 pages = 3 units A book of 120 pages and above, but less than 150 pages = 4 units A book of 150 pages and above, but less than 180 pages = 5 units A book of 180 pages and above, but less than 210 pages = 6 units A book of 210 pages and above, but less than 240 pages = 7 units A book of 240 pages and above, but less than 270 pages = 8 units A book of 270 pages and above, but less than 300 pages = 9 units A book of 300 pages and above = 10 units</p>
Published peer reviewed conference proceedings	Proceedings published as part of a peer reviewed non-periodical research output from conferences, congresses, symposia or other meetings where the primary purpose of disseminating research results will be allocated a maximum of one half a unit (0.5) if all the authors are affiliated to the claiming institution.
<p>If an author indicates that he or she belongs to more than one Higher Education institute in South Africa, the author's subsidy portion is divided equally between the institutions.</p>	

Other Non-Subsidised Research Outputs

Research Reports

This category includes research results published in the form of a report.

Creative Work

This category includes literary works, compositions, art exhibitions, music performances, as well as theatre and media productions. Please see the new policy on Creative Outputs below.

<http://www.sun.ac.za/english/research-innovation/Research-Development/Documents/Research%20Outputs/Government%20Gazette%20Policy%20Evaluation%20Creative%20Outputs%202017.pdf>

Doctoral dissertations & Master theses completed

Degrees are reported in the department in which the student is enrolled.

.

Creative Outputs and Innovations

The Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET) introduced the Policy on the Evaluation of Creative Outputs and Innovations produced by South African Public Higher Education Institutions (2017) in 2019. The following categories are included in this policy:

→ Creative Outputs

- Fine Arts and Visual Arts
- Music
- Theatre
- Performance and Dance
- Design
- Film and Television
- Literary Arts

→ Innovations:

- Patents
- Plant breeders' rights (PBR)

The submission of creative outputs as well as patents and PBR are handled separately from the survey of research publications. For more information on these processes please contact Maryke Hunter-Husselmann (mh3@sun.ac.za) or Esmarie Huysamen (esmarih@sun.ac.za) (creative outputs) and Madelein Kleyn (madeleink@sun.ac.za) (patents and Plant Breeders Rights).

ADDENDUM C

REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMISSION OF BOOKS/CHAPTERS IN BOOKS

In compliance with the new policy of the Department of Higher Education & Policy (DHET) on the subsidization of research outputs the following documentation is compulsory when submitting a publication in this category.

A book is eligible for 10 subsidy units and a chapter in a book for 1 subsidy unit. The subsidy for edited books with more than 10 chapters vary according to the number of chapters in the book.

Documentation/information to be provided from the author:

1. **Electronic copy** of the original published book. Upload an electronic copy if it complies with the copyright of the book.

For authored books, if the book is only available in hard copy, the hard copy of the complete, original book may be submitted to the Research office with the supporting documents.

If edited books are only available in hard copy the relevant parts, as listed below, must be scanned in and uploaded to the electronic system. Scan the book as A4, portrait and ensure that all pages are upright and in the correct sequence.

Front cover

Imprint page showing the copyright date, ISBN and publisher; page showing the book title and editors

Complete table of content

Foreword/Acknowledgement/Introduction

Contributor's list

claiming chapter(s)

last chapter and back cover of the book.

2. The **author of the book (monograph)** must submit a research justification (maximum 500 words). It must explain the contribution that the book makes to scholarship. This justification should not be an abstract of the contents or preface of the book, but should, rather, describe the methodology used as well as the unique contribution made to knowledge production. It should be clear that the book or chapter against which subsidy is being claimed disseminates original research and new developments within the specific discipline.

As part of the justification, there must be unequivocal declaration to the fact that no part of the work was plagiarised or published elsewhere. The target audience must be stated. If such information is already provided in the actual publication, a marker or reference to this must be made rather than providing the justification.

3. In the case of **second or later editions** being submitted for subsidy, clear evidence of new research must be provided in the written justification. The author should submit a detailed statement clearly indicating the new work. The previous version of the publication should also be handed in. It is necessary that at least 50% of the publication being claimed must have not been published previously.
4. **Dissertations and theses** that have been converted into books must be clearly identified as such and there must be evidence of *substantial reworking* and additional research carried out. The author should submit a detailed statement clearly indicating the new work in the written justification. The dissertation or thesis should also be handed in.
5. If a book is published in a **language other than English**, the institution must submit a *one-page summary of the output in English*. Similarly, any supporting documentation must also be provided in English
6. In the case of **late submissions**, a formal motivation explaining the reason for the late submission should be uploaded to the electronic system. It should be signed by the author/head of department.

Documentation /information to be provided by publishers/editor(s)

1. Evidence of the **pre-publication** peer review **process** must be provided for every book or chapter submitted for subsidy by the publisher of the book. *a Mere statement that peer review had taken place is not sufficient*. If the editor was responsible for facilitating the peer review process, the publisher must confirm this in a letter so that the general editor can give the details of the peer review process of the book. The peer-review evidence must be clear and unambiguous.
 - 1.1. Unless in the case of blind review, the names and affiliations of the reviewers should be mentioned.
 - 1.2. It should also be stated clearly whether peer review had taken place on the whole manuscript or the proposal only.
 - 1.3. If possible, the peer review reports should be provided to support the submission.

- 1.4. Other post publication reports/reviews can be handed *in addition* to the peer review report to strengthen the submission
 - 1.5. Templates or a generic declaration is not sufficed (in the past we have received declarations from different publishers using the exact same statement re the peer review process that had been followed)
 - 1.6. If the editor of the book also contributed towards a chapter(s) in the book, information should also be provided regarding his/her contribution to validate the fact that independent peer review had taken place.
2. A written **justification** (maximum 500 words) signed by the **general editor** in the case of an edited books with several chapters from various contributors, explaining the contribution that the book makes to scholarship, must be attached to each publication claim. This justification should not be an abstract of the contents or preface of the book, but should, rather, describe the methodology used as well as the unique contribution made to knowledge production. It should be clear that the book or chapter against which subsidy is being claimed disseminates original research and new developments within the specific discipline.
- As part of the justification, there must be unequivocal declaration to the fact that no part of the work was plagiarised or published elsewhere. The target audience must be stated. If such information is already provided in the actual publication, a marker or reference to this must be made rather than providing the justification.
3. If the word “Handbook” appears in the book title, please submit a motivation clarifying that it is original research targeted for academic peers and that it is not a textbook.
 4. Festschrifts does not qualify for subsidy. If the book is not a typical tribute, a motivation of original research must be given in the written justification.

The following types of publications do not qualify for subsidy purposes:

- Dissertations and theses
- Textbooks, professional handbooks, and study guides
- Reference books, Dictionaries and Encyclopaedias
- Speeches of any type and nature

- Reports forming part of contract research and other commissioned work
- Works of fiction
- Introductions and conclusions (unless the entire book, as a unit, is being submitted for subsidy claim)
- Book reviews
- Second, third and following editions do not qualify for subsidy unless substantial new research has been done and it should be stated as such in a detailed motivation in this regard
- Translations

ADDENDUM B

GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE USE OF RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION (RA) SYSTEM

STELLENBOSCH UNIVERSITY

**Uploading of research publication outputs and
supporting documents to the Research Administration (RA)
system**

January 2021

This document replaces part "*O. Upload of an attachment to a research output*" in the "**General guidelines for the use of RA ("Research Administration") system**".

Manual for the upload of research publication outputs with supporting documents

The Department of Higher Education (DHET) requested all institutions to submit, where possible, electronic copies of the supporting documents for the research publication outputs.

The electronic copies can be uploaded as PDF, JPG, MP3 and MP4 for each of the document types on the electronic system. WORD documents must be saved as PDF's before being uploaded to the RA system. It is compulsory to upload all outputs' supporting documents that are captured for subsidy as an attachment to the RA except for authored books **which are only available in hard copy**. The hard copy of these authored books can be submitted to the research office as such with the supporting documents.

How to store your documents

Save your attachments in such a way that you can easily access each document per research output record on your computer.

When saving your file ensure that the title of the PDF is short enough, has an identifiable title and that the title contains no special characters, for example”, ‘, &, ß, ^, À, °, etc.

Different document types that can be uploaded

Document Type	Description
<i>DHET Appeal</i>	A letter from the author signed by the head of department or director, motivating against the decision of the DHET’s panel.
<i>English Summary</i>	An A4 English summary for a book or an English abstract for any chapter in book that is published in a language other than English.
<i>Proof of affiliation</i>	<p>A declaration from Human Recourses for staff, or the application letter of extra-ordinary appointments or a correspondence from the supervisor for students in cases where the affiliation with SU was omitted from the publication.</p> <p>Proof or explanation clarifying the difference in the author’s publication name vs his /her official name.</p> <p>In cases where the author does not have a formal affiliation with SU at the time of the research for the publication, a letter clarifying that the affiliation with SU was mistakenly given.</p>
<i>Internal Appeal</i>	A letter from the author signed by the head of department or director, motivating against the decision of the internal adhoc committee.
<i>Justification letter</i>	A Research Motivation from the author of the book or a research motivation from the general editor of the book for chapters in books.
<i>Motivation for further edition</i>	A Motivation indicating the new research done for further editions, clearly showing the new research done and that it constitutes 50% and more new research if it is not indicated the justification.

<i>Motivation for original research</i>	If the word “Handbook” appears in the book title or if the acknowledgement indicates that the work was previously published, a motivation indicating the new research done and that it constitutes 50% and more new research, if it is not indicated the justification.
<i>Other</i>	Please indicate the type of document you wish to upload i.e., post reports.
<i>Peer review letter</i>	A letter from the Publisher (books) or Conference organizer or editor of the conference, stating the peer review process and any other supporting documents i.e., peer review reports, emails regarding the peer review process, Call for paper etc.
<i>Publication (Article / book / proceeding)</i>	The final print of the publication. See Addendum B for the information for proceedings that must be uploaded. For chapters in books upload the book for each of the chapters. Make sure that all of the information for articles are on the information submitted. To ensure this highlight the information on the PDF.
<i>Reason for late submission</i>	Late submission of research outputs for the year (n-2) may be considered for evaluation and subsidy; provided such submissions are accompanied by a credible explanation.

The maximum file size that can be uploaded, is currently 20MB.

More than one PDF can be uploaded per document type. These PDF’s can be sorted in the order of importance by selecting the appropriate sequence field.

Example 1

If you have *separate files for the peer review letter from the publisher, email from the editor and peer review reports*. **Upload all the PDF’s to the document type, Peer review letter** with the following sequence:

Sequence 1: the peer review letter from the publisher

Sequence 2: email from the editor

Sequence 3: peer review reports

Example 2

If you have separate files of a book, upload all the PDF’s to the document type, **Publication (Article/book/Chapters in Books)** in the sequence as it appears in the book.

Sequence 1: the front matter
Sequence 2: introduction
Sequence 3: the claiming chapter
Sequence 4: Last page of last chapter and Coda
Sequence 5: Back Cover page

Documents to be uploaded for each of the categories

1. List of documents to be uploaded on RA SYSTEM (Articles (Journal Article Subsidised)):

- Copy of the final print of the complete article. If the affiliation of the author is listed in the contributor's list, please include this list as well.
- Letter for author affiliation (This letter is important if there is no indication of author affiliation in the actual publication).
- Clarification if the author's surname differs from that published in the book from his/her official surname.
- Letter of motivation for late submissions

2. List of documents to be uploaded on RA SYSTEM (Articles (Journal Article non-subsidised)):

Should you wish to upload the article.

- Copy of the final print of the complete article.

3. List of documents to be uploaded on RA SYSTEM (Books/Chapters):

- Copy of the book for the category "Books" (Authored Books)
- Copy of the book for category "Chapters in Books" if complete book is available, otherwise the information listed below can be uploaded.
 - Front Matter (This includes the cover page, Imprint page with ISBN, Publication year, Editor, Publisher etc; Contributors List, Complete Table of contents; Preface/Introduction)
 - Claiming chapter
 - Last page of the last chapter
 - Back page of the book
- Clarification if the author's surname differs from that published in the book from his/her official surname
- Letter of motivation for late submissions
- Letter for author affiliation (This letter is important if there is no indication of author affiliation in the actual publication).
- Proof of peer review
- Research justification
- English summary (In the event that a book/chapter is published in a language other than English)
- Appeals

4. List of documents to be uploaded on RA SYSTEM (Conference proceedings):

- Copy of the conference proceeding if the complete proceeding is available. If it is not available, the information listed below can be uploaded.
 - Front Matter (This includes the cover page of the proceeding, Imprint page with ISBN, Publication year, Editor, Publisher etc.; Contributors List, Complete Table of contents; Preface/Introduction; welcome note, List of Peer reviewers)
 - List of editorial board/committee members with their affiliation
 - The claiming paper(s)
 - Declaration that the proceeding is not an inhouse publication (More than 60% of contributions published in the conference proceedings being submitted for a subsidy claim must emanate from multiple institutions)

- Clarification if the author's surname differs from that published in the book from his/her official surname
- Letter of motivation for late submissions
- Letter for author affiliation (This letter is important if there is no indication of author affiliation in the actual publication)
- Proof of peer review
- English summary (In the event that a conference proceeding is published in a language other than English)
- Appeal

How to upload an attachment to a record.

1. Capture the research publication output.
2. Click on the "Attachment" tab next to the record on the "Research Outputs browser" page to upload an attachment.

You are here: Research Outputs - Academic Development (Mil)

Search: [] Output Type: (not selected) Year: 2020 [Select]

Seq	Type	Title	Report Year	Output Year	Authors	Attachments	Comments	DELETE
Add New Research Output to Department								
1	Journal Articles (subsidised)	Felicia testing attachments	2020	2020	MC DONALD FS	Attachments (5)	fm make note	DELETE
2	Proceedings International	dd	2020	2020	MC DONALD FS	Attachments (1)		DELETE
3	Chapters in Books	lk	2020	2020	MC DONALD FS	Attachments (1)	FM move from books to chapters in books	DELETE

3. To attach your files to this output, click on the "Upload a new attachment to the research output" tab

You are here: Attachments to a Research Output

MC DONALD FS. lk. In: Davidge CA (ed.) sde, Elsevier, Barbados

These are the files which are attached to this output. The sequence is used to place these into a logical sequence. These files are limited to specific file types, being PDF, JPG, MP3 and MP4.

The document type is selected from a list and indicates the main purposes of this file.

Click on the PREVIEW link below the list of files to see these all displayed on a single page.

#	Type	Filename	Title	Note	Seq	Hidden	DEL
Upload a new attachment to this research output							
1	Peer review letter	Transformational leadership and job outcomes.pdf		will send book as hardcopy	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL

Preview Back to Output Details

Please note that the file types are limited to PDF, JPG, MP3 and MP4. The Word documents must be saved as PDF's before uploading

The "Upload a new Attachment to a Research Output" page will open.

You are here: Upload a new Attachment to a Research Output

You must select the most appropriate type from this list. If you select 'other' then use the Title to identify the type of file.

Document type: (not selected)

Enter the title for this document, to supplement the Document Type.

Document title: []

Only a few file formats are acceptable for uploading: JPG (image), PDF (document), MP3 (audio), MP4 (video).

NOTE: Save Microsoft Word documents as PDF, since Word files are not supported.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Maximum file size is currently 20MB. Any file large will fail.

File Name: [Browse...] No file selected.

The sequence in which the attachments should appear on the report to the auditors. If this is not numeric it will be saved as 0.


Seq: [0]

Write any notes which explain this attachment in further detail and which will appear on the report to the auditors

Note: []


Save Cancel

4. The document type is selected from a list and indicates the main purposes of this file. Select the document type you wish to upload.



Multiple attachments per document type per record can be uploaded. The “Seq” field is used to place these into a logical sequence

5. Click on Browse to upload the file from your computer.
Browse to where the document is on your computer and select your document and Click on open



6. Complete the Sequence- and Note field if applicable and click on Save to add the attachment
7. On the “Attachments to a Research Output” page you can either view all the attachments for this record by clicking on the “Preview” tab or continue with the upload of the file by clicking on the “Back to Output Details” tab.

SUNid midtier RA Home Accredited Journals Library DHET ROSS PressReader ra test site new 2020

Stellenbosch University
Research Administration

User Code: fmcDonald
Name: Felicia Mc Donald
Department: Academic Development (Mil)

You are here: **Attachments to a Research Output**

MC DONALD FS. lk. In: Davidge CA (ed.) sde, Elsevier, Barbados, 2020: 245-678.

These are the files which are attached to this output. The Seq field is used to place these into a logical sequence. These files are limited to specific file types, being PDF, PPT, DOC, etc. The document type is selected from a list and indicates the main purposes of this file.
Click on the PREVIEW link below to see these all displayed on a single page.

#	Type	Title	Note	Seq	DEL
1	Peer review letter	Leadership and job	will send book as hardcopy	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

Upload a new attachment to this record

Click on "Preview" tab if you would like to view all the attachments for this record

Click on "Back to Output Details" To continue with the upload

Preview

Back to Output Details

8. Click on the "Save" tab to finalize the upload.

Subsidy Approved (DHET)?

Book Title:

ISBN is compulsory for publications that are submitted for subsidy purposes

ISBN:

Publisher:

City:

Country:

Total Pages in Book:

Total chapters must exclude introduction and conclusions.

Total Chapters in Book:

Page From (numerals only):

Page To (numerals only):

If the page numbers contain non-numeric characters (such as Roman Numerals) then use the Page Range text box instead.

Page Range:

Capture any information relevant to this submission.

Comments:

Save Cancel

Click on "Save" to finalize the upload of the file

9. Repeat the steps 2 to 9 until all the files are uploaded for the specific research output record.

How to check if an attachment is uploaded properly to a record.

1. To open an attachment linked to an output, click on "Attachments" in the research outputs browser, next to the output

You are here: Research Outputs - Botany and Zoology

Department: Botany and Zoology Select

The Research Outputs for this Department have been locked for the current report year from further changes.

Search: non-native p Output Type: (not selected) Year: 2020 Select

Seq	Type	Title	Report Year	Output Year	Units Internal	Units DHET	Authors	Attachments	Comments	DELETE
1	Journal Articles (subsidised)	non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog <i>Hoplobatrachus tigerinus</i> : a synthesis for risk-analysis	2020	2021	0.00	0.00	MOHANTY NP, Crottini A, GARCIA R, MEASEY GJ	Attachments (1)		DELETE
TOTAL					0.00	0.00				

Data Entry Locked : You cannot add or modify research outputs which are not from the current input year. To view the research outputs please use the Search facility or the Report facility.

2. Click on the "Preview Tab" on the "Attachments to a Research Output" page to view the attachment

You are here: Attachments to a Research Output

MOHANTY NP, CROTTINI A, GARCIA R, MEASEY GJ. Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*: a synthesis for risk-analysis. *Biological Invasions* 2021; 23:69-81, 13 pages.

These are the files which are attached to this research output. The document type is selected from a list of allowed types, limited to specific file types, being PDF, JPG, MP3 and MP4. Click on the PREVIEW link below the attachment to see these all displayed on a single page.

Upload a new attachment to this research output

#	Type	Title	Note	Seq	Hidden	DEL
1	Publication (article/book/proceeding)	Mohanty2021_Article_Non-nativePopulationsAndGlobal.pdf	Non-native populations and global invasion potential	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL

Preview Auditor View Back to Output Details

3. A summary of the output with the PDF(s) will show in the next tab

RA : Output Attachments x navadm.sun.ac.za/EmitAttachment... x +

← → ↻ https://navadm.sun.ac.za/EmitAttachment... 67% ☆

Getting Started SUNid midtier RA Home Accredited Journals Lib... ra test site new 2020 (6) Facebook

File Attachments for Research Output

MOHANTY NP, CROTTINI A, GARCIA R, MEASEY GJ. Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*: a synthesis for risk-analysis. *Biological Invasions* 2021; 23:69-81, 13 pages.

Index of Attachments

Seq	Type	Title	Hidden
1	Publication (article/book/proceeding)	Non-native populations and global invasion potential	-

Hidden attachments will not appear on the report to the auditors

Attachment 1 : Publication (article/book/proceeding) - Non-native populations and global invasion potential

Mohanty2021_Article_Non-nativePopulationsAndGlobal.pdf

DEPARTMENT NOTE:

INTERNAL NOTE:

Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*: a synthesis for risk-analysis


Biol Invasions (2021) 23:69-81
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02356-9>

ORIGINAL PAPER

Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus*: a synthesis for risk-analysis

Nitya Prakash Mohanty · Angelica Crottini · Raquel A. Garcia · John Measey

4. If The PDF title is too long or special characters are included in the PDF title an error message will show. Amend the PDF title and upload it again.



ROUTLEDGE
An imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group
Miss Claire Maloney
Editorial Assistant,
Politics & International Relations
2 Park Square
Abingdon
Oxon
OX14 4RN
United Kingdom
Claire.Maloney@tandf.co.uk

Attachment 6 : other - Email clarification of target audience

W_ Outstanding information_ Schliesser's submissions for subsidy.pdf

DEPARTMENT NOTE: uploaded 14/04/2021. foutboodskap

INTERNAL NOTE:

no special characters i.e. abbreviations must
be in the PDF title

Server Error

404 - File or directory not found.

The resource you are looking for might have been removed, had its name changed, or is temporarily unavailable.

How to delete an attachment

1. Open the attachment by clicking on the "Attachment" tab.

You are here: **Research Outputs - AARogerTest**

Department: **AARogerTest** Select

Search: [] Output Type: [not selected] Year: 2015 Select

Seq	Type	Title	Report Year	Output Year	Authors	Attachments	DELETE
Add New Research Output to Department AARogerTest							
1	Journal Articles (subsidised)	Curative control of citrus green mould by imazali as influenced by infection age, wound size, fruit exposure time, solution pH and fruit brushing after treatment.	2015	2015	KIDD M , LENNOX CL , LESAR AD	Attachments (0)	DELETE
2	Journal Articles (subsidised)	Mapping 2D input to 3D Immersive Spatial Augmented Reality	2015	2015	THOMAS B	Attachments (1)	DELETE

2. Click on the delete tab next to the file you want to delete on the "Attachments to a Research Output" page

You are here: **Attachments to a Research Output**

MC DONALD FS. Felicia testing attachments. *AAD Journal* 2020; 52(5):1-14.

These are the files which are attached to this output. The Seq field is used to place these into a logical sequence. These files are limited to specific file types, and the document type is selected from a list and indicates the main purposes of this file.

Click on the PREVIEW link below the list of files to see these all displayed on a single page.

#	Type	FileName	Title	Hidden	DEL
Upload a new attachment to this research output					
1	other	2020-12-14_8-34-41.jpg		<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL
2	Publication (article/book/proceeding)	A multilevel study of transformational leadership, identification, and follower outcomes.pdf		<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL
3	DHET appeal	Herding academic cats_Faculty reactions to transformational and contingent reward leadership by department chairs.pdf	capturer make a note	<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL
4	Motivation for further addition	Transformational and transactional leadership in virtual and.pdf		<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL
5	Proof of affiliation	Emotionalintelligence,moralreasoningandtransformationalleadership.pdf		<input type="checkbox"/>	DEL

Preview [Back to Output Details](#)

3. The "Delete attachment from a research output" page opens. Click on the Delete tab to permanently remove the attachment from the research output.

You are here: **Delete attachment from a research output**


You are about to Delete the following record. Click Cancel to back out of the delete operation, or click Delete to continue deleting.

Title: []

FileName: **A multilevel study of transformational leadership, identification, and follower outcomes.pdf**

Note: []

Delete **Cancel**



4. On the "Attachments to a Research Output" page, click on the "Back to Output Details" tab and click on Save on the "Research Output" page.

IMPORTANT NOTE

When the system is locked, please do not delete any attachments. You are only allowed to upload attachments when more or outstanding information is requested.