PREDICTION MODEL FOR VARIATIONS IN HARVESTER PRODUCTION

Victor Asmoarp, Aron Davidsson and Petrus Jönsson The Forestry Research Institute of Sweden



Wood demand





Wood demand per month during one year in a wood supply area

Rolling plan



	SP	FW	ST	HP	Р	РТ	Total
Mars	7100	1500	8100	3800	0	4500	25000
April	6900	1300	7400	3400	0	4500	23500
May	6400	1200	7100	3700	0	4600	23000
Totalt	20400	4000	22600	10900	0	13600	71500

- Wood manager productions plan to meet demand
- Three-month rolling production plan every month
- First month is sharp

Historical production





Total harvest production per month in three years in a wood supply area.

Historical production





Total harvest production per month in three years in a wood supply area.

Purpose and aim



- The purpose of this study was to build models that support Norra wood supply managers in their work with the three-month rolling production plan.
- The aim was to validate the model on a small area during a limited time period.



Data and study area



- Wood supply area Södra Ångermanland
- Historical harvester data from
 - 2013-2015
 - 27 harvester in three sizes small, medium and large
 - 734 000 m³ produced volume
- Working days per month
- Wheather data
- Information about harvested objects



Approach



- Build a regression-model on historical data
- Test how different parameters affecting the respondents, outcome of volume and assortments.
- The prediction model was split in two parts
 - the first estimate produced volume per machine and month
 - the second estimate the percentage of an assortment in a specific month for a specific machine.
- This two models combined together will estimate the volume per machine, assortment and month.

Model for production



$$V_{mt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * A + \beta_t * N + \beta_m * M_t$$
 R² of 77 %

Variables:

- (A) Percentage final fellings of total harvesting objects
- (N) Norm production (m³/month), contracted volume per year divided with the number of productive month
- (M) Average stem (m³/stem), calculated as an average over planed harvesting objects a specific month.

Index:

- (m) Machine-class (Small, Medium, Large)
- (t) Time period (Jan, ..., Dec)

Parameters:

(eta_0) (eta_1)	5.4 728.2											
Machine-cl (βm)	lass (m)	Small 3247.3	Med 1872	ium I 2.6 3	Large 755.9							
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Month}(t) \\ (\beta t) \end{array}$	Jan 0.834	Feb 0.890	Mar 0.954	April 0.782	Maj 0.535	June 0.305	July 0.159	Aug 1.022	Sep 0.835	Oct 0.735	Nov 0.615	Dec 0.502

Model for assortment



 \overline{P}_{amt} Percantage of assortment a for machine-class m in time period t

The combined prediction model

 (V_{amt}) per assortment (a), machine-class (m) and month (t) can then be formulated as:

$$V_{amt} = \overline{P}_{amt} * V_{_{mt}}$$

Validation



- The models were validated with data from
 - Wood supply are Södra Ångermanland
 - Period of January to April 2016
 - 12 harvesters operating.
- Estimated a total volume ~118 000 m³
- Actual produced volume ~100 000 m³

Production model





Assortment model



	Assortments								
	Softwood pulp	Fire wood	Spruce timber	Hardwood pulp	Poles	Pine timber			
Predicted	31%	5%	31%	19%	2%	12%			
Produced	36%	7%	27%	19%	0%	11%			

- The model for proportion of assortment per machine and month estimated the assortments fairly good.
- The model
 - underestimated softwood pulp and fire wood
 - overestimated spruce timber, pine timber and poles.

Conclusion



- It's possible to use historical harvester data to predict the produced volume per assortment and month for a wood supply area.
- The small overestimation is most probably due to bad input data about mean steam volume from field inventory.
- With bigger data set to calibrate this model with there will probably be better predictions.

Further work



- Increase the accuracy of models
 - Remove temporal producing machines
- Develop models for the 7 other wood supply areas

Thanks for listening

aron.davidsson@skogforsk.se The Forestry Research Institute of Sweden

