## Speaker Villet

- Introduction to the meeting
- Vote of no confidence in a member of the student assembly
- Set out a procedure for this amendment
- Look at eligibility criteria for representatives

## Deputy Speaker Baker

- Amendments
  - $\circ$   $\;$  There is no procedure for a vote of no confidence in members
  - The procedure for a presiding officer vote of no confidence
  - o Eligibility criteria for a person to be selected to student parliament
    - Must be a registered Stellenbosch University Student
    - Must be entering into their second year or higher year of study at Stellenbosch University
    - The same minimum HEMIS requirements as decided in the university calendar
    - Speaker, deputy speaker and speaker of student imbizo will analyse all submissions

## Speaker Villet

- There will be small grammatical changes to the document.
  - Floor is opened to debate:
    - Errors Raised with the Document:
      - To submit a vote of no confidence 2 weeks before the sitting
        - But you only get notice of proceedings 5 days before
        - $\circ$  This needs to be reconciled
    - Member Raises an issue with Constituency of the meeting
      - This meeting is not properly Constituted
        - Only a ordinary plenary sitting may reconsidered rules
        - Amendment to the rules can't be amended unless it has been circulated at least 14 days before the meeting
        - The only time rules may come before this house if the amendments have been heard by the commission
    - Speaker:
      - The notice was distributed to other members of the house and the SRC
      - The Student Assembly has not yet given a budget for mass mailers at the mass meeting
      - But there are pending issues that need to be voted on
      - Did not feel that the needs of students require to attend this meeting
    - SRC asked whether
      - Are the rules being amended rules of imbizo or student assembly
    - Speaker
      - Student assembly rules are being amended
      - The rules have been made by the student assembly
    - AAC raises the fact that reasonable notice was not given for this meeting

- Reasonable invitation was not given
- Yanga Keva raises a point of order from the floor
  - Speaker needs to make a ruling on a point of order
  - Reason for haste is irrelevant irrelevant
  - Only a positive recommendation can allow for the policy considerations of this house
  - We can't have a debate on the rules until the meeting is properly constituted.
- $\circ$   $\;$  Speaker issues a vote to determine whether  $\;$  we continue with this meeting or not  $\;$ 
  - Vote on continuing with meeting
- Mr Claasen raises the following point
  - The power to make rules is within the student assembly
  - The rules we constitute are for certainty but don't determine whether we have the power to vote on a motion of no confidence
  - Speaker has a broad discretion to institute a proceedings that is fair
    - That may be challenged
- o Mr Keva Raises the following point
  - The rules of the house are not sufficient
  - Any decision of this house must be made by way of motion
  - Presiding officer must decide whether the meeting is properly constituted and must therefore
- Speaker Villet

-

o Adjourns the meeting