



**MINUTES OF THE STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL  
OF STELLENBOSCH UNIVERSITY HELD ON 15 February 2016  
IN THE SRC BOARDROOM AT 18:00**

**MEMBERS** J de Villiers (Chairing the meeting), M Rudolph, L du Plessis, L Pakade, N Radebe, B Frolick, I Barac, S Duna, C Stapelberg, D Bezuidenhout, K Meiring

**ABSENT WITH REASON** Axolile Qina (proxy J de Villiers), Wim Steyn, Tumelo Motse (proxy B Frolick), Nick Wayne (proxy I Barac), Lethiwe Mbatha (proxy M Rudolph), Mynhardt Kruger, M Madsen-Leibold, B Mndebele, K Bawa, F Mubaiwa, T Muzofa, R Olivier

**ABSENT WITHOUT REASON**

**OTHER ATTENDEES** Bongeka Mlenzana, Tayla Faulman

	<b>AGENDA POINT</b>	<b>ACTION</b>
1	<b>CALL TO ORDER</b>  J de Villiers calls the meeting to order at 18:00.	
2	<b>WELCOMING AND PERSONALIA</b>  J de Villiers greets everyone and emphasises the importance of respectful and constructive engagement during meetings.	
3	<b>APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES</b>  <u>SRC meeting minutes of 8 February 2016</u>  Approved.	
4	<b>ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES</b>  No items from previous minutes.	



<p>5</p>	<p><b>SETTING OF THE AGENDA</b></p> <p>J de Villiers asks that the Disciplinary Committee election is done first. B Frolick adds SRC Statement to the agenda, point 6.3. The agenda is adopted by B Frolick and seconded by N Radebe. The agenda is set by J de Villiers.</p>	<p>The agenda is adopted</p>
<p>6</p>	<p><b>Elections</b></p> <p><b>6.1 Disciplinary Committee</b></p> <p>J de Villiers explains how the vote is going to take place, closed ballot, simple “yes”, “no” or “abstain” per candidate.</p> <p>T Faulman addresses the SRC first.</p> <p>B Frolick asks what relevant experience she has.</p> <p>T Faulman says that she served on the Metanoia House Committee as Vice-Prim and that you have to deal with disciplinary issues. Had to deal with taking HC members to the Central Disciplinary Committee.</p> <p>J de Villiers emphasizes that it is a simple yes or no vote or abstain.</p> <p>Votes counted by S Duna and L du Plessis Yes: 12 No: 1 Abstain: 0</p> <p>The second candidate addresses the SRC B Mlenzana shares her experience, worked as a mediator, currently a LLD student, worked in conflict management and has experience with dealing with gangsterism and administrative action.</p> <p>B Frolick Asks about her background serving on the Central Disciplinary Committee and asks how this influences this position she is running for and if she is going to re-apply.</p>	<p>T Faulman is elected to the SRC Disciplinary Committee</p>



B Mlenzana Explains that she will still be part of that but there is currently an issue with her appointment, because she is in a transitional phase as a student switching from her LLM to her LLD, they have a problem interpreting their legislation, there is difference between student still needing to register for masters, waiting for them to sort out their house.

Votes counted by S Duna and L du Plessis

Yes: 13

No: 0

Abstain: 0

B Mlenzana is elected to the SRC Disciplinary Committee

Third candidate addresses SRC

I Barac introduces herself and shares her background and that she is currently busy with her BcomLLB, final year. Shares her experiences on the Sonop House Committee.

K Meiring asks if it going to be difficult to stay objective and balance conflict of interest when dealing with her SRC colleagues.

I Barac says that if you are bias you can be overruled, and she has experience with disciplinary hearing regarding students in Sonop when she was still staying there.

Votes counted by S Duna and L du Plessis

Yes: 12

No: 1

Abstain: 0

I Barac is elected to the SRC Disciplinary Committee

## 6.2 Senate

One candidate running:

N Radebe

Shares her position and experience as,  
Chair of the Academic Affairs Council,  
On bursary and loans committee  
Was in one senate meeting with M Rudolph, so she has experience in this regard.  
Emphasizes the lack of diversity on Senate.



	<p>5th year and busy with her 1st year masters in Polymer Sciences.</p> <p>Votes counted by S Duna and L du Plessis Yes: 13 No: 0 Abstain: 0</p> <p><b>6.3 SRC Statement</b></p> <p>B Frolick says that he had a meeting with A Qina regarding including dissenting and opposite views in our statements, refers to Law students knowing that we have minority judgements and then we publish everything. To show diversity on the SRC, the different points of view.</p> <p>J de Villiers asks regarding the procedure of how we will do it, we will come together and the majority opinion and then the minority will write.</p> <p>N Radebe Asks how the minority judgement system works and how consensus will be reached.</p> <p>B Frolick as that everyone go and look at a practical example first.</p>	<p>N Radebe elected to Senate</p>
<p>7</p>	<p><b>QUESTIONS AND VARIA</b></p> <p>I Barac refers to the kleinser finals and requests that we just send M Madsen-Leibold a message to apologise.</p> <p>J de Villiers explains what is going to happen during the upcoming week when he is not here. I Barac will be his proxy. Will try and be back in time for exec meeting</p> <p>N Radebe asks regarding the NWU SRC visit.</p> <p>I Barac refers to communication starting last week but only corresponded today. Requests that we engage</p>	



	with the on Saturday if we can. Will inform us tomorrow.	
8	<b>NEXT MEETING</b>  The next general meeting is to take place on 22 February 2016 at 21:00 in the SRc Boardroom.	
9	<b>ADJOURNMENT</b>  J de Villiers adjourns the meeting at 18:30.	