



## AGENDA

### Students' Representative Council Meeting

SRC Board Room, 8 February 2016, 21:00

1. Opening
2. Welcoming and Personalia
3. Approval of previous meeting's minutes
4. Items from previous minutes
5. Setting of the agenda
6. Discussion and feedback:
  - 6.1. Disciplinary Committee
  - 6.2. Communication policy J De Villiers – Paragraph 1
  - 6.3. Office Code of Procedure J De Villiers – Paragraph 2
  - 6.4. Stellenbosch Council Reform F Mubaiwa – Paragraph 3
  - 6.5. #EndRapeCulture F Mubaiwa – Paragraph 4
  - 6.6. Men's Conference F Mubaiwa – Paragraph 5
  - 6.7. Safety M Kruger
  - 6.8. Matie Identity B Mndebele
  - 6.9. Molassesêr M Madson-Leibold
  - 6.10. Protest Policy Task Team M Rudolph
  - 6.11. Sport Administration Tygerberg S Duna
  - 6.12. Tygerberg N Wayne
  - 6.13. MILAK T Motse
  - 6.14. Ex Officio feedback
    - 6.14.1. Societies' Council K Meiring
    - 6.14.2. Prim Committee I Barac/W Steyn



- 6.14.3. Academic Affairs Council N Radebe
- 6.15. External relations Ms. Stapelberg
  - 6.15.1. Open day
  - 6.15.2. New Hope Summit
  - 6.15.3. Homecoming
- 7. Questions and Varia
- 8. Next meeting
- 9. Closing



### **Paragraph 1**

Please refer to the draft Communications Policy as emailed to you.

### **Paragraph 2**

Please refer to the draft Office Code of Procedure Policy as emailed to you.

### **Paragraph 3**

It is evident that the Council of Stellenbosch must be reformed. It must be intersectional, diverse and representative of South Africa. Moreover, the SRC must be unequivocal and resolute in our stance for diversity. We have womxn empowerment, gender identity and sexuality, and consciousness - and yet our SRC representatives on council do not reflect the necessary representation needed for our rapidly changing campus.

### **Paragraph 4**

As per the statement sent out.

### **Paragraph 5**

This is a greatly flawed idea that is forcefully indicative of a contrasting and divided stance regarding some of the most pertinent and pressing issues on campus. It reinforces and protects the patriarchal structure and norms perpetuating gender binaries and exclusion. This is an event that this SRC cannot stand for and threatens to undermine the breakthroughs made by the Critical Engagement and Transformation portfolios.



## Paragraph 6

Sport communication and administration at Stellenbosch and Tygerberg.

Tygerberg has been making unilateral, independent sport decisions which could destroy relationship between Stellenbosch campus and Tygerberg. All sport directives come from Maties Sport and should trickle out to both campuses and be communicated equally.