Pig genetic resources,
livelihoods, progress 8
prospects

Tinyiko Edward Halimani

# A brief digression to address the intro

- Prof Dannie Brink

  - Restrictions
    Innowledge and information we are restricted by what we know
    That includes everybody researchers, policy makers, value chain actors
    Resources we are restricted by what we have
    That includes...

    The conundrum of moving from subsistence agriculture to commercial agriculture through developmental agriculture
    The recognition that subsistence & commercial oriented farmers are not the same 'species' irrespective of landholding (that's my version)

## PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

1

Pig production systems
• The usual dichotomy (is it continuum?)
<ul> <li>Resource – limited smallholder subsistence systems</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Intensive highly – resourced market – oriented systems</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>In Africa the resource – limited smallholder subsistence</li> </ul>

In Africa the resource – limited smallholder subsistence systems have proven to be more resilient and sustainable compared to commercial set-ups Counterintuitive but:

Lower fixed costs and inputs compared to intensive production
 Access to kitchen waste that can be used to supplement a few scavenging pigs
 Pigs having other functions in traditional systems that make their production worthwhile

Note that the same factors that make the systems **resilient** are also the major

They provide strong buffering effects in either direction

## Pig ownership patterns

- Mainly women and children
  - Kinship networks that lead to spread of ownership within gender
  - Easy to keep and will not overburden or compete with the 'reproductive economy
  - Can be left to free range with minimum supplementation from household waste
  - Easy to keep and free range are good but:
    - Easy to keep usually translates in into low investment of time, inputs and other resources
       Poor biosecurity and vulnerability to disease

    - · Lack of market orientation
    - Lack of product quality assurance

### Smallholder competitiveness

- Why the smallholder?
  They are the custodians of most of the genetic diversity and hence the adaptive capacity
  Competitiveness low because of the various constraints
  Feeds and feeding
  Biosecurity
  Diseases & parasites
  Food safety & quality assurance systems
  Highly wasteful high piplet mortalites
  Housing
  Markets and market intelligence
  Few pigs per household (to match numbers to resources) hence low marketable surplus
  Policy gaps
  Genetics

- Genetics
   Lack of farmer organisation

W	
What do smallholders get?	
Benefits     Employment     Household income	
Insurance     Contribution to food security and dietary diversity	
<ul> <li>Socio – cultural roles (unfortunately there are also strong taboos associated with pigs in Southern Africa</li> </ul>	
	_
Presentation at the AnGR colleguium held at STMA, Stellenbouch, KSA (17 - 20/07/19) 7	
	1
Attributes	
<ul> <li>There are well documented attributes of pig genetic resources in Southern Africa</li> <li>Can be exploited by both smallholders and large scale growers – differently</li> </ul>	
Behavioural and adaptive traits     Disease and parasite tolerance	
<ul><li>Foraging ability</li><li>Herdability</li></ul>	_
<ul> <li>Mothering ability</li> <li>'survive in harsh low-input environments and thrive under heavy disease, parasite and nutrition challenges'</li> </ul>	
Suitability for cultural roles	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STMA, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/39) 8	<u> </u>
	1
Consequently	
•	
<ul> <li>Traditional breeders and farmers have different breeding objectives</li> <li>Traits of economic importance (well of immediate value anyway) vs adaptive</li> </ul>	
traits  Aesthetic (clour and patterning)  Behavioural aspects (temperament, mothering ability, foraging behaviour, herdability	
and any other aspects that minimise labour on livestock)  • Adaptability and the ability to survive on minimum care  • There is also the issue of different production objectives	
<ul> <li>There is also the issue of different production objectives</li> <li>Why do smallholders keep the livestock they keep &amp; why those breeds?</li> </ul>	

TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE USE	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STIAS, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/19)	10

A recap of where the problems are		
Value chain actors	Constraints	
Inputs and services	Expensive feeds, No quality assurance systems, Lack of support services, Policy weaknesses	
Production	High disease burden, Poor quality feeds, Lack of technical expertise, Low capacity etc	
Collection & bulking	Largely non-existent, High transaction costs, Poor handling during transport	
Slaughter	Largely at farm or roadside, Lack of certification	
Processing	Non - existent	
Retail	Very little to non - existent	
Consumption	Stigmatisation of local genetic resources obviously also linked to lack of certification	
Presentation at the An Stellenbosch,	GR colloquium held at STIAS,	

### Breed improvement

- Traditional and new tools
  - Indigenous knowledge systems and farmer objectives
  - Nucleus breeding schemes
  - Sire rotation and loan schemes
    Assisted reproduction technologies

  - Assisted reproduction technologies
    Genomics
    We need t begin mapping these traits to particular loci to:
    Better understand them and the way they have evolved
    Manipulate them especially when we want to introgress them to other genetic resources
    Making decisions about repopulation in the event of disasters (match the animal to the environment)

Addressing product	tion	issues
--------------------	------	--------

- There is need for access to:
  - Good quality & cost effective inputs
  - Feeds, breeds, veterinary support
     Extension services
  - - Training & information
       Role of ICT
  - Markets
- Need to re-orient farmer objectives
  - By adding the market component

#### Developing markets

- Investing in infrastructure and institutions
   Market access is both a cause and a consequence of development
- Farmer organisation
  - Collective action enables farmers to access markets while reducing transaction costs of purchasing inputs, market information and new technologies
- Policy interventions
  - Support the conservation, utilisation and improvement & market presence of the neglected breeds
- Development of products and markets

  - Niche markets, culinary advocacy & branding
     Contract farming
     Cooperatives to enable bulking & exploitation of economies of scale

#### Conservation strategies

- ullet Development of markets is closely linked to  $in\ situ$  conservation strategies
- Directly benefits the farmers and can allow shift from subsistence to commercial
- production or at least achieve a stage of developmental agriculture
   Allows the genetic resources to adapt to the environment
- Backup ex situ in vitro & in vivo conservation
  - Conservation herds
- Cryoconservation
- Highlight
  - Africa lagging behind on SDG indicators 2.5.1 & 2.5.2 which are Tier 1 or core statistics

CONCLUSIONS & OTHER MUSINGS	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STIAS, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/19) 16	
Juniorinatati, rum (a suj tr j a-j	
Conclusions	-
Characterisation, inventorying and use of pig genetic resources in	
Southern Africa are still incomplete <ul> <li>Information available is fragmentary and not coordinated</li> </ul>	
Pig production is constrained by lack of resources	
However, smallholder free-range systems seem to be more resilient and	
sustainable despite the lower inputs and biosecurity measures	
Unfortunately this buffering effect works both ways	
<ul> <li>Most pigs are owned by women which makes them an important stakeholder in any policy interventions</li> </ul>	
stakeholder in any policy interventions	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STIAS, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/19)  17	
Conclusions	
Continuo	
Development of markets (complemented by well-planned ex situ	
conservation strategies) is the easiest route towards in situ	
conservation of pig genetic resources	
<ul> <li>Still meet the farmers' needs &amp; production objectives</li> <li>There is need for breed improvement without loss of genetic diversity</li> </ul>	
Incorporate IKS and farmer objectives	
<ul> <li>Smallholders have much more multifaceted breeding objectives that include aesthetic, behavioural, suitability for religious or cultural roles and adaptive</li> </ul>	
aesthetic, behavioural, suitability for religious or cultural roles and adaptive traits	
There is a need for a coordinate regional policy framework that is	
backed by adequate resources	
Proceedings at the APP collection to talk 1 ******	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STIAS, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/19)  18	

THANK YOU	
Presentation at the AnGR colloquium held at STIAS, Stellenbosch, RSA (17 - 20/07/35)  19	